

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER CITY OF ST. LOUIS



DARLENE GREEN
Comptroller

November 20, 2014

Internal Audit Section

1520 Market St., Suite 3005 St. Louis, Missouri 63103-2630 (314) 657-3490

Fax: (314) 552-7670



Jennifer Florida, Recorder of Deeds City Hall 1200 Market Street, Room 126 St. Louis, MO 63101-2281

RE: Recorder of Deeds – Technology and Archival Account Special Review (Project #2014-SPR04)

Dear Ms. Florida:

Enclosed is the Internal Audit Section's report of the Recorder of Deeds Office, *Technology and Archival Account* for the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2014. A description of the scope of work is included in the report.

Fieldwork was completed on September 22, 2014. Responses to the observations and recommendations noted in this report were received on November 17, 2014, and have been attached to the report.

This review was made pursuant to the Recorder of Deed's request and under authorization contained in Section 2, Article XV of the Charter, City of St. Louis, as revised; and has been conducted in accordance with the *International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing*.

If you have any questions, please contact the Internal Audit Section at (314) 657-3446.

Respectfully,

Dr. Ishmael Ikpeama Internal Audit Supervisor Ron Steinkamp, CPA,CIA,CFE,CRMA,CGMA

Internal Audit Advisor

Enclosure

cc:



CITY OF ST. LOUIS

RECORDER OF DEEDS TECHNOLOGY AND ARCHIVAL ACCOUNT

SPECIAL REVIEW

JULY 1, 2010 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2014

PROJECT #2014-SPR04

DATE ISSUED: NOVEMBER 20, 2014

Prepared by: The Internal Audit Section



OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER

HONORABLE DARLENE GREEN, COMPTROLLER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	I
Background	1
Purnose	
Scope and Methodology	
Scope andMethodology Status of Prior Observations	2
Conclusion	
Management's Response's	2
DETAILED OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS,	
AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES	3-8
ATTACHMENT - MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE	

SUMMARY

Background

The Recorder of Deeds Office maintains nearly 250 years of public records on the people and property of St. Louis including birth, death, land and marriage records.

In accordance with Missouri Revised Statute Chapter 59.319, the Recorder of Deeds Office maintains a *Technology and Archival Account*. The primary purpose of the account is for record storage, microfilming, and preservation, including anything necessarily pertaining thereto. As of June 30, 2014, the account had a balance of \$315,510.22.

Purpose

Internal Audit reviewed the Recorder of Deeds' *Technology and Archival Account* at the request of the Recorder of Deeds. The purpose of this review was to determine if the Recorder of Deeds effectively and efficiently managed the *Technology and Archival Account* and related transactions to ensure:

- Compliance with laws, regulations, policies and procedures.
- Safeguarding of assets.
- Reliability and integrity of financial and operational information.
- Economic and efficient use of resources.

Scope and Methodology

The scope of the review was limited to the review of transactions within the *Technology and Archival Account* over the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2014 and the related internal controls. The review procedures included:

- Inquiries of management and staff.
- Review of transaction details and supporting documentation.
- Reviews for compliance with policies and procedures, as well as applicable laws and regulations related to goals and objectives.
- Review of prior audits performed.
- Limited tests of controls.
- Other procedures as considered necessary.

SUMMARY

Status of Prior Observations

A report performed by the Missouri State Auditor of the City of St. Louis Office of Recorder of Deeds (2010-16, issued January 2010), had one finding pertaining to the account which we followed-up on during this review:

• Special Funds Bank Account Controls and Procedures (funds were not kept in a fund maintained by City Treasurer as required by state law; and purchases from the fund were not bid in compliance with city procurement policies). Based on our review, the finding is repeated in this report.

Conclusion

The Recorder of Deeds Office did not effectively and efficiently manage the *Technology and Archival Account.*

The following observations resulted from our review.

- 1. Questioned costs of \$130,274.08.
- 2. Allegations of nepotism.
- 3. Failure to maintain account with City Treasurer.
- 4. Inadequate bidding process.
- 5. Lack of segregation of duties.
- 6. Outstanding checks.

The observations are discussed in more detail in the *Detailed Observations, Recommendations and Management's Responses* section of this report.

Management's Responses

An exit conference was conducted at the recorder of Deed's Office on October 31, 2014. The Recorder of Deeds Office was represented by Jennifer Florida, Recorder of Deeds, and Georgie Simmons, Chief Deputy. The Internal Audit Section was represented by the Auditor- in- Charge, Internal Audit Supervisor, and Internal Audit Advisor.

DETAILED OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES

1. Questioned Costs Of \$130,274.08

Review of the Recorder of Deed's internal financial ledger and bank statements related to the *Technology and Archival Account* revealed payments for expenses in the amount of \$130,274.08 that did not appear to comply with the allowable categories per State Statute. Revised Missouri State Statues Chapter 59.319.1 allows the following expenses to be paid with the account:

- Personnel costs associated with records management (access and retrieval of records), inventory of records, microfilming of records, conversion of records with document imaging and writing of grant applications for records management or preservation projects.
- Purchase or, lease or maintenance of equipment (computers, microfilm cameras, microfilm readers, and reader/printers, and document imaging systems) to store, index, or preserve records.
- Purchase of supplies (film, photographic chemicals, record books, acid free paper, and acid free file folders) to store, index or preserve records.
- Vendor contracts for storing or preserving records, leasing of storage space, microfilming services, bookbinding and encapsulation.
- Purchase of storage equipment (shelves or cabinets).
- Building modifications for space where records will be kept.

We question the following payments made out of the *Technology and Archival Account* which do not seem to be allowed per State Statute:

- \$105, 152.27 charged as renovations. A tour of the Recorder of Deed's Office space revealed that these renovations did not occur in spaces where the bulk of records are stored.
- \$5,493.90 categorized as "office supplies" that included notary stamp, notary public renewal, bond insurance, RAM (Recorders Association of Missouri) conference supplies, courier service, Mayor's Open House decorations, food for an office meeting, and name tags for RAM meetings.
- \$19,627.91 for reimbursements to employees for gas, mileage, food, and hotel accommodations for Legislation meetings, RAM meetings, MAC (Missouri Association of Counties) meetings and vendors meetings in Jefferson City, Branson, Kansas City, Lake of

DETAILED OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES

the Ozarks and Columbia, Missouri. In 2012, staff members also traveled to Albuquerque New Mexico for an IACREOT (International Association of Clerks, Recorder's Election Officials & Treasurers) conference.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Recorder of Deeds follow State Statutes and work with Legal Counsel to determine the legality of these payments and any remedies available to recoup these questioned costs.

Management's Response

Please see the attachment for the management response in its entirety from the Recorder of Deeds.

2. Allegations of Nepotism

The former Recorder of Deeds resigned on July 11, 2014 because of nepotism allegations involving the hiring of her great nephew. The great nephew worked summers and was paid \$10,815 over the scope of our review (July 2010 through June 2014) according the Recorder of Deed's internal financial ledger. In addition, \$170 was paid for the great nephew's parking expenses for the months of June, July and August 2010 out of the *Technology and Archival Account* according to the Recorder of Deed's internal financial ledger.

The Missouri Ethics Commission considers a great nephew a fourth degree relative, and Missouri Constitution, Article VII, Section 6 states that any public office holder who employs "any relative within the fourth degree, by consanguinity or affinity, shall thereby forfeit his office or employment".

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Recorder of Deeds follow the State Constitution and work with Legal Counsel to determine any other action that may be required or available regarding the payments related to the nepotism. In addition, the Recorder of Deeds should develop and distribute to employees its own internal conflict of interest policy in conjunction with the state laws to prevent any future potential misinterpretations and violations.

Management's Response

Please see the attachment for the management response in its entirety from the Recorder of Deeds.

Date Issued: November 20, 2014

DETAILED OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES

3. Failure To Maintain Account With City Treasurer

The *Technology and Archival Account* was maintained by the Recorder of Deeds in a bank account that was not kept by the Treasurer as required by State Statute. Missouri State Statute 59.319 requires that "the recorders funds shall be kept in a special fund by the treasurer and shall be budgeted and expended at the direction of the recorder and shall not be used to substitute for or subsidize any allocation of general revenue for the operation of the recorder's office without the express consent of the recorder."

Review of the Recorder of Deed's ledger and bank statements revealed bank service charges totaling \$5,171.51 over the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2014 related to the *Technology and Archival Account*. There were thirteen (13) service charges related to returned items and cash vault debit adjustments for counterfeit bills. There was also a monthly "Analysis Service" charge for which the Recorder of Deed's staff could not explain. Service charges may have been minimized if the account was passed through the City Treasurer's clearing account which does not earn interest, but does not incur fees through careful negotiation of services to process multiple City accounts.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Recorder of Deeds comply with Missouri State Statute 59.319 and work with the City Treasurer to reach an agreement allowing for the transfer of the custody of the *Technology and Archival Account* to the City Treasurer. This will ensure compliance with the State Statutes and assist with minimizing service charges to the account.

Management's Response

Please see the attachment for the management response in its entirety from the Recorder of Deeds.

4. Inadequate Bid And Procurement Process

It does not appear that the Recorder of Deeds consistently followed a formal bid and procurement process.

The City Counselor issued a report dated September 18, 2014 on its review of the Recorder of Deed's bid and procurement process specific to office renovations from the year 2009 through 2014.

In the report, the City Counselor noted several findings pertaining to concerns with the Recorder's bid and procurement process.

DETAILED OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES

In addition to the City Counselor's findings, we noted that the Recorder of Deeds did not have written policies and procedures related to the bid and procurement process and did not appear to consistently follow the City policies and procedures.

The failure to implement and follow formal policies and procedures related to the bid and procurement process may result in the appearance of impropriety and a lack of open competition and the Recorder paying more for products and services.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Recorder of Deeds follow the City bid and procurement policies and procedures and document their compliance.

Management's Response

Please see the attachment for the management response in its entirety from the Recorder of Deeds.

5. Lack Of Segregation Of Duties

In a review of 185 checks issued out of the account to pay for expenditures, we noted that the former Chief Deputy signed five (5) checks made payable to herself totaling \$671.25 for travel expense reimbursements which appear to be unallowable per the State Statute. The Chief Deputy also approved the invoices.

There were two other authorized signatories on the account, the Recorder of Deeds and the Internet Manager. The Administrative Assistant maintained custody of the checks in a locked file cabinet drawer and performed the bank statement reconciliation. We were told that this was an acceptable practice in the Recorder of Deed's Office because another office employee wrote the Chief Deputy's name on the "pay to order of" line when she signed the checks.

If the Chief Deputy signs checks made payable to herself, duties may not appear to be adequately segregated.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Recorder of Deeds establish and follow a policy that payees on any check are not allowed to also sign the check.

DETAILED OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES

Management's Response

Please see the attachment for the management response in its entirety from the Recorder of Deeds.

6. Outstanding Checks

Review of the Recorder of Deed's financial ledger and bank statements revealed five (5) payments totaling \$362.00 that had not cleared the bank and remain outstanding. One (1) of these payments was to a vendor, and four (4) were refunds to customers for overpayments of recording fees.

The Recorder of Deed's Office staff had no explanation for the outstanding checks. The account from which the checks were written was closed August 14, 2014. However, the outstanding checks remain a liability to the Recorder of Deed's until they are presented for payment or voided.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Recorder of Deeds attempt to contact the customers or vendors to whom the payments were issued and determine why the checks have not been presented for payment. The checks should also be voided and new replacement checks may be issued to customers and vendors if the Recorder of Deeds liability still exists. In addition, the Recorder of Deeds should follow State of Missouri Statutes pertaining to Abandoned Property.

Management's Response

Please see the attachment for the management response in its entirety from the Recorder of Deeds.



Jennifer A. Florida Recorder of Deeds & Vital Records Registrar City of Saint Louis www.stlouiscityrecorder.org

City Hall, Room126 1200 Market Street Saint Louis, MO 63103 314.622.4610 info@stlouiscityrecorder.org



November 13, 2014

Dr. Ishmael Ikpeama Internal Audit Supervisor Internal Audit Section, Comptroller's Office 1520 Market, Suite 3005 St. Louis, MO 63103

Dear Dr. Ikpeama:

I appreciate the opportunity to improve the quality and accountability of Recorder of Deeds services. Your office has played a very important role in assisting our office in achieving that goal by conducting an internal audit of the Recorder's Preservation Fund, Technology and Archival Account. The Recorder's Preservation Fund, Technology and Archival Account has been the subject of great scrutiny since the former recorder violated the State Constitution by using the fund to pay her great nephew; the penalty was her forfeiture of office.

I agree with your conclusion of the facts, regarding the report performed by the Missouri State Auditor for the City of St. Louis Recorder of Deeds (2010-16, issued January 2010): Special Funds Bank Account Controls and Procedures. The fund was not maintained by City Treasurer as required by state law; and purchases from the fund were not in compliance with city procurement policies. Based on your review, this finding had not been resolved and is repeated in your report.

The Recorder's Preservation Fund has been maintained by the Treasurer since August, 2014. The Recorder's office has and will comply with city procurement policies as of August of 2014.

- 1. Questioned Costs of \$ 130,274.08: I agree with your conclusion that the Recorder's Preservation Fund known as the Technology and Archival Account for the time period analyzed, July 1, 2010- June 30, 2014, had expenditures that do not seem to be allowed per State Statute.
 - Action: The Recorder's Archives Department shall develop an annual budget for the Recorder's Preservation Fund, Technology and Archival Account that will be shared transparently in compliance with State Statute. The fund's expenditures will prioritize the stabilization, access and preservation of our records.
 - The Recorder of Deeds Office will follow State Statutes and work with legal counsel to determine the legality of these payments and any remedies to recoup these questioned costs.
- 2. Allegations of Nepotism: The former Recorder of Deeds resigned on July 11, 2014 because of nepotism allegations involving the hiring of her great nephew. \$10,815 was paid out of the Technology and Archival Account to the great nephew (July 2010 June 2014). \$170.00 was paid from the Technology and Archival account for the great nephew's parking.

Action: The Recorder of Deeds Office will follow your recommendation that this office follow the State Constitution and work with Legal Counsel to determine any other action that may be required or available regarding recouping the payments related to nepotism.

On July 23, 2014, St. Louis City Employee Code of Conduct was adopted as a part of the Recorder's Personnel Policy which includes nepotism and conflicts of interest, see attachment. I agree that by introducing this policy that it may prevent any future violations.

- 3. Failure to Maintain Account with City Treasurer: The Technology and Preservation Fund was maintained by the Recorder of Deeds in a bank account that was not kept by the Treasurer as required by Missouri State Statute 59.319.
 - Action: I have complied with Missouri State Statute 59.319 by turning the Recorder's Preservation Fund over to the Treasurer in August of 2014.
- 4. Inadequate Bid and Procurement Process: Recorder of Deeds did not follow a formal bid and procurement process. I agree that failure to implement and follow formal policies related to the bid and procurement process could and has resulted in impropriety and a lack of open competition.
 - Action: The Recorder's Office will comply with city procurement policies. All contracts will be bid, vetted and approved by City Counselor and the Comptroller. All necessary improvements to our facilities will be bid by Board of Public Service and document compliance. Technology Committee and bid process policy has been implemented.
- 5. Lack of Segregation of Duties: Former Chief Deputy signed checks made payable to herself for travel expense which appears to be not allowable per State Statute. She also approved the invoices. I agree that the duties were not adequately segregated.
 - Action: The Recorder's Preservation Fund is now maintained by the Treasurer. As of August 2014, with appropriate documentation, authorized by the Recorder, signed by the Treasurer's office, expenditures are now made. Duties shall be adequately segregated.
- 6. Outstanding Checks: Review of the Recorder of Deeds financial ledger and bank statements revealed five (5) payments totaling \$362.00 that had not cleared the bank and remain outstanding. The account was closed August 14, 2014 and the fund transferred to the Treasurer. Staff has no explanation for the outstanding checks.

Action: Recorder's Office will follow your recommendations to attempt to contact the customers or vendors affected and determine why checks have not been presented for payments. Checks shall be voided and replaced with new checks if liability still exists. We shall follow the State of Missouri Statutes pertaining to abandoned property.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely, junge a florida

Jennifer A. Florida

CC:

Comptroller Darlene Green City Counselor Winston Calvert Mary Ellen Ponder Mayor Francis Slay Ron P. Steinkamp, CPA

EMPLOYEE CODE OF CONDUCT

City of St. Louis Francis G. Slay, Mayor

We recognize that City employees have responsibilities to various groups:

- The public
- Elected officials who represent the public
- Their appointing authorities and supervisors
- Fellow employees
- Representatives of other agencies/organizations

These unique responsibilities require each of us to act with honor, faithfulness, loyalty, fairness and due diligence in conducting our job duties; and require us to report improper, unethical or unlawful behavior.

City employees must, at all times, comply with all applicable laws and regulations. Failure to comply with all applicable laws and regulations or unethical behavior in connection with the performance of an employee's duties will not be condoned or permitted. The City does not permit any activity that fails to stand the closest possible public scrutiny.

Any employee who is arrested, charged, indicted and/or convicted of any criminal charge that occurs on or off duty, whether engaged in City business or not, is required to report the arrest, charge, indictment or conviction to his/her appointing authority within five (5) calendar days of the arrest, charge, indictment or conviction. Any employee who fails to comply with this requirement will be subject to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal.

RESPONSIBILITIES

The City and its Appointing Authorities are responsible for:

- Setting an example of competence and appropriate ethical behavior
- Implementing and abiding by this Code of Conduct
- Ensuring that all employees receive a copy of the Code of Conduct and returning the signed copies to the official Department of Personnel files upon initial hire, and thereafter on an annual basis as part of the employee's annual service rating
- Providing job training for all employees
- Emphasizing acceptable standards of performance for each job
- Clarifying City policies and procedures for all employees who might be unclear as to what is appropriate behavior
- Providing working conditions which enable City employees to accomplish their assigned duties fairly and safely

1

- Providing a workplace environment that encourages open communication, free of fear of reprisal, in the belief that respectful honesty is the surest way to identify problem areas, address them and resolve them
- Providing an avenue for employees to report alleged violations of this Code to their supervisor, appointing authority or the Department of Personnel

Conflicts of Interest

The City expects that employees will perform their duties conscientiously, honestly, and in accordance with the best interest of the public. Employees must not use their position or knowledge gained as a result of their position for private or personal advantage.

Employees should continually be mindful that they are hired and paid to perform certain duties. Situations may arise, however, when there seems to be a conflict between their official responsibilities and their personal interests. These may be situations involving financial dealings, spending City funds, regulating businesses or individuals, purchasing supplies or materials or contracting for services. In order to avoid an impropriety – or giving the appearance of an impropriety – employees should alert their supervisors immediately of such conflicting situations. If the employee and the supervisor are unclear about the appropriate path to follow, the matter should be promptly referred to the City Counselor's Office.

Civil Service Rules allow employees, with the approval of their appointing authority, to hold a second job with some non-governmental employers. However, the employee must report all such employment to his/her appointing authority and receive approval of said secondary employment from his/her appointing authority prior to starting same. If an employee changes his/her secondary job, or the nature of his/her secondary job changes the employee must first obtain the written permission of the appointing authority. Please refer to the current Department of Personnel Administrative Regulation regarding Secondary Employment for further information.

Nepotism

Both the Missouri Constitution and the Civil Service Rules prohibit nepotism such that "Any public officer or employee in this state who by virtue of his office or employment names or appoints to public office or employment any relative within the fourth degree, by consanguinity or affinity, shall thereby forfeit his office or employment." Further, Civil Service Rule VII, Section 12 states that no person in the classified service shall be transferred, promoted or by any other means placed in a position whereby they would be directly supervised by any relative within the second degree of consanguinity or affinity. The Director shall not knowingly certify any applicant, or approve any personnel action, which may result in a violation of the foregoing provisions. Appointing authorities shall not knowingly take such actions.

Relatives related by consanguinity (i.e. blood relative) and affinity (i.e. related to spouse/domestic partner) are described hereafter:

Relations to the Fourth degree of Self or Spouse/Domestic Partner

Spouse, Domestic Partner First Degree: Child, Parents

Second Degree: Grandchild, Brother/Sister, Grandparents

Third Degree: Great Grandchild, Niece/Nephew, Aunt/Uncle, Great Grandparents Fourth Degree: Great Great Grandchild, Grand Niece/Nephew, First Cousin, Great

Aunt/Uncle, Great Great Grandparents

Finally, in all instances, employees are prohibited from giving preferential treatment or favoritism to relatives or relatives of a spouse/domestic partner. Employees are encouraged to direct any questions to the Department of Personnel Employees Relations Section.